1. Is this a follow-up scan?

**[ ]** Yes **[ ]** No

* 1. If Yes, indicate date of reference scan (m m/dd/yyyy):

## Spectralis Thickness Map Single Exam Report

1. Macula volume – OD (mm3):
2. Macula volume – OS (mm3):

1: Spectralis Axonal Single Exam Report OU with FoDi™ Table

| Intentionally left blank | OD | OS |
| --- | --- | --- |
| OCT ART Mean | Data to be entered by site. | Data to be entered by site. |
| Quality | Data to be entered by site. | Data to be entered by site. |
| RNFL thickness of the superior quadrant | µ: | µ: |
| Was average RNFL thickness of the superior quadrant abnormal? Choose only one | **[ ]**  0 = RNFL thickness is normal (green on the report)**[ ]**  1 = RNFL is abnormally thin, in the 5th percentile (yellow on the report)**[ ]**  2 = RNFL thickness is in the 1st percentile (red on the report)**[ ]**  9 = RNFL is unusually thick, in the 95th percentile (white on the report) | **[ ]**  0 = RNFL thickness is normal (green on the report)**[ ]**  1 = RNFL is abnormally thin, in the 5th percentile (yellow on the report)**[ ]**  2 = RNFL thickness is in the 1st percentile (red on the report)**[ ]**  9 = RNFL is unusually thick, in the 95th percentile (white on the report) |
| RNFL thickness of the nasal quadrant | (µ): | (µ): |
| Was average RNFL thickness of the nasal quadrant abnormal? Choose only one | **[ ]**  0 = RNFL thickness is normal (green on the report)**[ ]**  1 = RNFL is abnormally thin, in the 5th percentile (yellow on the report)**[ ]**  2 = RNFL thickness is in the 1st percentile (red on the report)**[ ]**  9 = RNFL is unusually thick, in the 95th percentile (white on the report) | **[ ]**  0 = RNFL thickness is normal (green on the report)**[ ]**  1 = RNFL is abnormally thin, in the 5th percentile (yellow on the report)**[ ]**  2 = RNFL thickness is in the 1st percentile (red on the report)**[ ]**  9 = RNFL is unusually thick, in the 95th percentile (white on the report) |
| RNFL thickness of the inferior quadrant | (µ): | (µ): |
| Was average RNFL thickness of the inferior quadrant abnormal? Choose only one | **[ ]**  0 = RNFL thickness is normal (green on the report)**[ ]**  1 = RNFL is abnormally thin, in the 5th percentile (yellow on the report)**[ ]**  2 = RNFL thickness is in the 1st percentile (red on the report)**[ ]**  9 = RNFL is unusually thick, in the 95th percentile (white on the report) | **[ ]**  0 = RNFL thickness is normal (green on the report)**[ ]**  1 = RNFL is abnormally thin, in the 5th percentile (yellow on the report)**[ ]**  2 = RNFL thickness is in the 1st percentile (red on the report)**[ ]**  9 = RNFL is unusually thick, in the 95th percentile (white on the report) |
| RNFL thickness of the temporal quadrant | (µ): | (µ): |
| Was average RNFL thickness of the temporal quadrant abnormal? Choose only one | **[ ]**  0 = RNFL thickness is normal (green on the report)**[ ]**  1 = RNFL is abnormally thin, in the 5th percentile (yellow on the report)**[ ]**  2 = RNFL thickness is in the 1st percentile (red on the report)**[ ]**  9 = RNFL is unusually thick, in the 95th percentile (white on the report) | **[ ]**  0 = RNFL thickness is normal (green on the report)**[ ]**  1 = RNFL is abnormally thin, in the 5th percentile (yellow on the report)**[ ]**  2 = RNFL thickness is in the 1st percentile (red on the report)**[ ]**  9 = RNFL is unusually thick, in the 95th percentile (white on the report) |
| Average overall RNFL thickness (value in the center of the circle on report, marked “G”) | (µ): | (µ): |
| Was average RNFL thickness thin compared to the rest of the population? Choose only one | **[ ]**  0 = RNFL thickness is normal (green on the report)**[ ]**  1 = RNFL is abnormally thin, in the 5th percentile (yellow on the report)**[ ]**  2 = RNFL thickness is in the 1st percentile (red on the report)**[ ]**  9 = RNFL is unusually thick, in the 95th percentile (white on the report) | **[ ]**  0 = RNFL thickness is normal (green on the report)**[ ]**  1 = RNFL is abnormally thin, in the 5th percentile (yellow on the report)**[ ]**  2 = RNFL thickness is in the 1st percentile (red on the report)**[ ]**  9 = RNFL is unusually thick, in the 95th percentile (white on the report) |

## General Instructions

This form contains data elements that are collected to measure macular thickness and volume by optical coherence tomography (OCT). This eye scan uses near infrared light to measure axonal and neuronal loss in the anterior visual pathway.

All elements on this CRF are classified as Exploratory (unless specified below) and should only be collected if the research team considers them appropriate for their study.

Optical coherence tomography retinal nerve fiber layer thickness laterality type is classified as Supplemental.

## Specific Instructions

Please see the Data Dictionary for definitions for each of the data elements included in this CRF Module.

* Follow-up scan? – No additional instructions
	+ Date of reference scan – Only answer this question if this scan is a follow-up scan. The preferred format for recording date is DD/MMM/YYYY. 99/99/9999 can be used to indicate an unknown date.
* Spectralis Thickness Map Single Exam Report –Macula Volume – Record using 2 decimal places. To be answered for OD and/or OS, as applicable.
* Spectralis Axonal Single Example Report OU with FoDi™ – OCT ART Mean – Acceptable range for result is 1-100. To be answered for OD and/or OS, as applicable.
* Spectralis Axonal Single Example Report OU with FoDi™ – Quality (OD and OS) – Acceptable range for result is 0-40. This result should be greater than 15 for good reliability. To be answered for OD and/or OS, as applicable.
* RNFL thickness of the superior quadrant – To be answered for OD and/or OS, as applicable. Record result in microns (µ).
* Was average RNFL thickness of the superior quadrant abnormal? – Choose only one. To be answered for OD and/or OS, as applicable.
* RNFL thickness of the nasal quadrant – To be answered for OD and/or OS, as applicable. Record result in microns (µ).
* Was average RNFL thickness of the nasal quadrant abnormal? – Choose only one. To be answered for OD and/or OS, as applicable.
* RNFL thickness of the inferior quadrant – To be answered for OD and/or OS, as applicable. Record result in microns (µ).
* Was average RNFL thickness of the inferior quadrant abnormal? – Choose only one. To be answered for OD and/or OS, as applicable.
* RNFL thickness of the temporal quadrant – To be answered for OD and/or OS, as applicable. Record result in microns (µ).
* Was average RNFL thickness of the temporal quadrant abnormal? – Choose only one. To be answered for OD and/or OS, as applicable.
* Average overall RNFL thickness – To be answered for OD and/or OS, as applicable. Record result in microns (µ).
* Was the average RNFL thickness thin compared to the rest of the population? – Choose only one. To be answered for OD and/or OS, as applicable.