1. Date of scan:
2. Equipment:
3. MEG Sensor Array (dewar) Type (Choose one)

[ ]  whole cortex/head [ ]  Partial coverage [ ]  Other, specify:

1. MEG Sensor/Channels (Choose one):

[ ]  37 [ ]  74 [ ]  120 [ ]  151 [ ]  275 [ ]  360 [ ]  Other, specify:

1. Coil Configuration(Choose one):

[ ]  Magnetometer [ ]  Axial gradiometer [ ]  Planar gradiometer

[ ]  Other, specify:

1. Name of the scanner manufacturer:

[ ]  Elekta/Neuromag [ ]  CTF/VSM/MISL [ ]  Biomag/4D [ ]  Yokogawa

[ ]  Tristan [ ]  Other, specify:

1. Number of different MEG scanners used:

[ ]  Hardware/software updates/changes during study [ ]  Other, specify:

1. Quality Assurance:
2. Head localization for measuring head movement during MEG recording

[ ]  Before and after recording [ ]  Before recording [ ]  After recording [ ]  Real time head-position tracking [ ]  Other, specify:

1. Head movement tolerance during MEG recording

[ ]  5 mm [ ]  10 mm [ ]  Other, specify:

1. Assessment of Magnetic noise

[ ]  Questionnaire for screening magnetic noise [ ]  Pre-test system noise recording (no subjects,~2 minutes) [ ]  Task free (resting state) noise recording [ ]  Other, specify:

1. Assessment of artifacts

[ ]  Simultaneous ECG/EKG recording [ ]  Simultaneous EOG (horizontal and/or vertical) recording [ ]  Simultaneous EMG recording [ ]  Other, specify:

1. Co-registration of MEG data and anatomical data

[ ]  Three-fiducial points (left pre-auricular point, right pre-auricular points, nasion) [ ]  Surface-matching [ ]  Digitized headshape; [ ]  Bite-bar-based fiducials; [ ]  Photography of fiducial points [ ]  Other, specify:

1. Data acquisition protocol:
2. Acquisition mode:

[ ]  Spontaneous recording (no synchronized trigger); [ ]  Evoked/elicited recording (acquisition triggered by synchronized tasks); [ ]  Single epoch (trial); [ ]  Multiple epochs (trials) [ ]  Other, specify:

1. Acquisition parameters:
	1. Sampling rate \_\_\_\_Hz
	2. Epoch (trial) time: ms (millisecond)
	3. Pre-trigger (base-line) time ms
	4. Minimum inter-epoch(trial) time: ms

[ ]  Random-interval (range): minimum ~ maximum (ms); [ ]  Fixed interval: (ms); [ ]  Response-based interval: (ms) ; [ ]  Other, specify:

* 1. Channel groups:

[ ]  MEG; [ ]  MEG + EEG; [ ]  MEG+EEG+Trigger ; [ ]  Customized group [ ]  Other, specify:

* 1. Total epochs (trials):
	2. Total acquisition time:
		1. Anticipated (ms/second);
		2. Actually used (ms/second)
	3. On-line filter: Low pass-filter High pass-filter Power-line (60/50 Hz) filter
	4. On-line averaging: On/Off
	5. Video monitoring during data acquisition : On/Off
	6. Audio monitoring during data acquisition : On/Off
	7. Stimulation/task delivery system status during data acquisition:

[ ]  Visual projector: on/off; [ ]  Auditory tubes: on/off; [ ]  Somatosensory (Electrical/mechanic stimulus) devices: on/off ; [ ]  Response boxes/pads (motor)

[ ]  Other, specify:

* 1. Accompany person in the magnetic shielded room: Yes/no, Number of persons
1. Other facilities
2. Demagnetization before data acquisition: yes/no
3. Clinical recording (particularly for pediatrics):
	* 1. Sedation/anesthesia

[ ]  Sedation: drug(s) dosage

[ ]  General anesthesia: drug(s) dosage time;

[ ]  Blood pressure monitor: on/off,

[ ]  Breath monitor: on/off,

[ ]  Other, specify:

* + 1. Safety monitoring system (e.g. Oxygen level): on/off
		2. Other devices/systems in the magnetic shielded room: specify
1. Preprocessing:
2. The data format exported from the MEG scanner to local workstations/computers.

: [ ]  Elekta/Neuromag \*.fif: [ ] CTF/VSM .ds; [ ]  Europen Data Format: \*.edf; [ ]  Others, specify

1. Bad channel identified

[ ]  Yes [ ] No ☐Artifact/noise threshold (e.g. > 6 pT), [ ]  List of bad channels (name and ID):

1. Bad epoch (trials) identified

[ ]  Yes [ ] No [ ] Artifact/noise threshold (e.g. > 6 pT), [ ] List of bad trials:

1. Methods for artifact removal (ex. ICA)? [ ]  Yes [ ]  No
2. Criteria for noise, artifact and motion:
3. Processing and Analysis:
4. Waveform average
	1. All trial averaging
	2. Trigger based averaging
	3. Classification based averaging
	4. Other, specify:
5. Waveform filter
	1. Band-pass filter: low pass high pass
	2. Power-line filter: 60 Hz or 50 Hz, Width of filter; number of harmonics
	3. Direct-current (DC) offset applied:

[ ]  Entire epoch (trial) [ ]  Pre-trigger base-line [ ] Selected range [ ] Other, specify:

1. Waveform mark/classify
	1. Evoked/elicited neuromagnetic responses:

[ ]  Number of responses (deflection) [ ]  Latency of response [ ] Amplitude of response [ ] Habituation [ ] Other, specify:

* 1. Segmentation/classification:

[ ]  Marked bad-segments [ ]  Marked good-segments [ ] Multiple-type of segments are classified [ ] Other, specify:

1. Integration of MEG and other anatomical imaging
	1. Registration technique used: [ ]  Fiducial points [ ] Head shape match
	2. Structural imaging modality: [ ]  MRI [ ] CT [ ] Other, specify:
	3. Structural imaging sources: ☐ Individual MRI/CT ☐Averaged (group) MRI/CT
	4. [ ] Other, specify:
2. Time-frequency analysis methods:
	1. Fourier transform (FT), fast FT (FFT)
	2. Wavelet
	3. S-transform
	4. Other, specify:
3. Reconstruction method used:
	1. Dipole modeling: [ ]  Single dipole [ ] Multiple dipole, [ ]  Moving dipole [ ] Others, specify
	2. Beamforming (spatial filtering): [ ]  Vector beamformer [ ] Scalar beamformer, [ ] Synthetic Aperture Magnetometry (SAM) ; [ ] Vector-scale beamformer (2 Step)

[ ] Others, specify

1. What processing tool(s)/package(s) type and version was used for analyzing the data? (Choose all that apply)

[ ]  Native software from MEG manufacturer (Neuromag, CTF, Biomag, Other)

[ ]  BESA

[ ]  Curry

[ ]  ASA

[ ]  MEG Processor

[ ]  Brainstorm

[ ]  FieldTrip

[ ]  MNE

[ ]  EEGLab

[ ]  EEG Studio

[ ]  Magnetic Source Locator (MSL)

[ ]  ESME

[ ]  NutMEG

[ ] SPM

[ ]  Other, specify:

1. Analysis approach:
	1. Waveform morphology: [ ]  Abnormal response (deflection) [ ]  Missing response [ ]  Other, specify:
	2. Latency: [ ]  Delay of all responses; [ ]  Delay of selected response [ ]  Other, specify:
	3. Amplitude: [ ]  Increased amplitude [ ]  Decreased amplitude [ ]  Other, specify:
	4. Topographic distribution: [ ]  Spatial pattern [ ]  Diffusive; [ ]  Focal; [ ]  Other, specify:
	5. Spectral pattern: [ ]  Frequency components [ ]  Temporal component [ ]  Other, specify:
	6. Spectral latency: [ ]  Delay of all components; [ ]  Delay of selected component [ ]  Other, specify:
	7. Spectral magnitude: [ ]  Increased magnitude [ ]  Decreased magnitude [ ]  Other, specify:
	8. Topographic distribution: [ ]  Spatial pattern [ ]  Diffusive; [ ]  Focal; [ ]  Other, specify:
	9. Source imaging: [ ]  Number of Source [ ]  Source location; [ ]  source strength; [ ]  Other, specify:
2. Reporting:
3. Waveform latency/amplitude/habituation measures/comparison results:
4. Spectral time/frequency components measures/comparison results:
5. Source location measures/comparison results:
6. Source strength measures/comparison results:
7. Topographic measures/comparison results:
8. Abnormal activity/activation (compared with normal controls): location, number and strength
9. Indicate the p-values used for comparisons between cohorts or the group averages:
10. Describe the rationale for choosing the statistical thresholds for the results:
11. Describe the method chosen for multiple comparisons correction:
12. If applicable, indicate the coordinates of significant clusters [ ]  N/A
	1. If applicable, indicate the size of the significant clusters: [ ]  N/A
13. If applicable, indicate the p-values used for any correlative analysis [ ]  N/A

**General Instructions**

This CRF contains data that would be collected when a MEG study is performed.

Important note: All elements on this CRF are considered Supplemental – Highly Recommended for ME/CFS and should be collected as part of a MEG study.

**Specific Instructions**

Please see the Data Dictionary for definitions for each of the data elements included in this CRF Module.

The CRF includes all instructions available for the data elements at this time.

* Date of scan –Record the date/time according to the ISO 8601, the International Standard for the representation of dates and times ([The International Organization of Standardization Homepage](http://www.iso.org/iso/home.html)). The date/time should be recorded to the level of granularity known (e.g., year, year and month, complete date plus hours and minutes, etc.).
* Number of MEG sensors –Choose one
* Coil configuration -Choose one
* Name of the scanner manufacturer – Choose one
* Number of different MEG systems used – Report the number of different MEG systems that were used for recording MEG data from participants during the study
* What measures of quality assurance scans were used? –Examples include visual inspection by MEG/EEG technician.
* Patient movement – Report the technique used for measuring head movement in the MEG recording
* Magnetic noise – Report the technique used to detect magnetic noise in MEG data
* Examination of MEG waveforms for gross brain activity/activation abnormalities – Report the technique used for detecting gross functional abnormalities (e.g. waveforms inspected by epileptologists)
* Data acquisition protocols –No additional instructions
* Data acquisition parameters –No additional instructions
* Acquisition time duration –No additional instructions
* What format was the data exported from the MEG systems to local workstations/computers? –No additional instructions
* Was quality analysis performed on the data for artifact detection and potential exclusion of the subject data from analysis? –No additional instructions
* Were there any corrections for artifact removal (ex. ICA)? –No additional instructions
* Describe criteria for motion – Describe parameters for excluding patient data due to excessive head movement
* Was a registration technique used to overlap MEG sources to anatomical imaging (MRI/CT)? –No additional instructions
	+ Registration technique used–Choose all that apply
	+ Report the individual or standard average brain used –No additional instructions
* Source localization method used –No additional instructions
* Processing- What processing tool(s)/package(s) type and version was used for analyzing the data? –Choose all that apply
* Analysis approach –No additional instructions
* Brain activation latency/amplitude measures/comparison results–No additional instructions
* Brain activation amplitude measures/comparison results –No additional instructions
* Magnetic source location measures/ comparison results- No additional instructions
* Magnetic source strength measures/comparison results – No additional instructions
* Spectral measures/comparison results –No additional instructions
* Aberrant brain activation: locations, number and strength – No additional instructions
* Indicate the p-values used for comparisons between cohorts or the group averages –No additional instructions
* Describe the rationale for choosing the thresholds for thresholding the results –No additional instructions
* Describe the method chosen for correcting for multiple comparisons –No additional instructions
* If applicable, indicate the coordinate of significant clusters. If not applicable, select ‘N/A’
* If applicable, indicate the size of significant clusters. If not applicable, select ‘N/A’
* If applicable, indicate the p-values used for any correlative analysis. If not applicable, select ‘N/A’
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